Thondigere, Huliyapur and Bidanagere of Hebbur Hobli, and Gollahalli of Kora Hobli, Tumkur Taluk, highly irregular measurements were made in the first instance by the members of the District Survey Staff?

(*b*) What are the names of the Mojinidars and Nimtandars who conducted it and how many years of service have they put in?

(*c*) What is the percentage of such irregularities to the total number of *hissas* in the said villages (to be shown villagewar)?

(*d*) What is the disciplinary action taken against them?

(*e*) Who is it that found out these irregularities?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—25. (*a*) There were mistakes in the measurement of *hissas* in the villages.

	Name.	*Service.*
(*b*)	Mojinidar R. G. Kulkarni	3 years.
	Tester Mr. Ramachandra Venkatesh	25 ,,

	Village.	*Total hissas.*	*Percentage of irregularities.*
(*c*) 1.	Bidanagere	171	56
2.	Thondigere	148	36
3.	Huliyapura	56	50
4.	Tavarekere	381	20
5.	Gollahalli	170	31

(*d*) The Measurer's pay was reduced and the cost of the work of revision finally ordered to be recovered from the Measurer as well as from the Tester.

(*e*) The District Survey Officer.

MESSRS. K. A. RAHIM and DYAVAPPA GOWDA (Belur Taluk); MALLESHA GOWDA (Alur Sub-Taluk) and H. BASAPPA (Arsikere Taluk).—

Q.—27. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) When was the Hanike School in Belur Taluk sanctioned?

(*b*) How far was the work carried and what was the amount spent?

(*c*) When was the work stopped?

(*d*) What was the reason for stopping it?

(*e*) Are the present walls fit for the continuation of the building?

(*f*) If they are not fit, who is responsible for the delay and the loss?

(*g*) What time will it take to complete the building?

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—27. (*a*) In 1919.

(*b*) Work to the extent of Rs. 400 is reported to have been carried out.

(*c*) The work was stopped in 1921.

(*d*) The contractor is reported to have stopped the work partly on account of the low rates approved in the sanctioned estimates, and partly owing to some domestic troubles.

(*e*) No.

(*f*) The contractor. As he has been paid only a sum of Rs. 130 against the work done estimated at Rs. 400 and as it is now proposed to construct a separate building utilising all the available materials, the loss will, in a great measure, be recouped.

(*g*) The work is expected to be completed in 1932-33.

MESSRS. KAPPANNA GOWDA (Hassan Taluk) and BASAVAYYA (Minority Interest).—

Q.—28. Will the Government be pleased to state the value of court fee stamps refunded in original suits and the value of stamps in cases of remand on appeal in the Civil Courts, per year, for the years 1930-31 and 1931-32?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—28. The value of court fee stamps refunded in original suits.

1930-31	*1931-32 up to 31st March 1932.*
Rs. 93,174-4-0	Rs. 85,934-13-0

The value of court fee stamps refunded in cases of remand on appeal in the Civil Courts.

1930-31	*1931-32 up to 31st March 1932.*
Rs. 837-6-0	Rs. 1,471-12-0

MESSRS. M. NANJAPPA and NANJE GOWDA (Channarayapatna Taluk).—

Q.—29. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The total cost of establishment of the Public Works Department, Hassan District, for the year 1931-32?